Clinical Focus Session #1: Key Considerations for Treatment of Lipid Disorders

Eugene Yang, MD, MS, FACC Chair, ACC Prevention of Cardiovascular Disease Section Clinical Professor of Medicine, Division of Cardiology Carl and Renée Behnke Endowed Professorship for Asian Health University of Washington School of Medicine

UW Medicine HEART INSTITUTE

UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON



DISCLOSURES

- Consulting
 - Genentech
- Medical Advisory Board
 - Clocktree
 - Measure Labs
- Research Funding
 - Amgen (Principal Investigator for FOURIER, FOURIER-OLE, and EBBINGHAUS trials)
 - Microsoft Research

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

• Special thanks to Dr. Nihar Desai from Yale University School of Medicine for providing slides used for this presentation

Case Presentation

- 38F who presents after a workplace screening event identified her as having "high cholesterol." She has 2 children (age 12 and 8), denies smoking, diabetes, taking any medications. Her family history is significant for premature CAD in her father who had an MI at age 44.
- Exam:
 - BP 132/84; HR 72 (sinus); 99% RA; RR 14; BMI 28.2 kg/m²
 - Unremarkable cardiovascular exam
- Labs:
 - Total cholesterol: 343 mg/dL
 - LDL-C: 248 mg/dL
 - HDL: 50 mg/dL
 - TG: 165 mg/dL
 - HbA1c: 5.2%



- TSH: normal



Case Presentation

What is the next best step for primary ASCVD prevention for this patient?

- A. Lifestyle modification and repeat lipid panel in 6 months
- B. Need more information: obtain hsCRP, Lp(a), or CAC score
- C. Initiate atorvastatin 10 mg and check LFTs in 4 weeks
- D. Initiate atorvastatin 80 mg
- E. Calculate a 10-year ASCVD risk score to estimate risk to determine if therapy is needed.









Diagnostic Criteria for FH



Diagnostic Criteria for Familial Hypercholesterolemia

There are currently three accepted resources for FH diagnosis: the Simon Broom Criteria, the MEDPED Criteria, and the FH Dutch Lipid Clinic Criteria.

| | SIMON BROOME DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA FOR FAMILIAL HYPERCHOLESTEROLEMIA ¹ |
|----------------|--|
| Point | Criteria |
| 1 | Total cholesterol levels > 290mg/dL (7.5 mmol/L) or LDL-C > 190 mg/dL (4.9 mmol/L) in adults. |
| 1 | Total cholesterol levels > 260 mg/dL (6.7 mmol/L) or LDL-C > 155 mg/dL (4.0 mmol/L) |
| 2 | Tendon xanthomas in the patient or tendon xanthomas in a first or second degree relative. |
| 3 | DNA-based evidence of an LDL-receptor mutation, familial defective apo B-100, or a PCSK9 mutation. |
| 4 | Family history of myocardial infarction before age 50 years in a second degree relative or before age 60 years in a first degree relative. |
| 2 | Family history of elevated total cholesterol > 290 mg/dL (7.5 mmol/L) in an adult first or second-degree relative. |
| 5 | Family history of elevated totacl cholesterol > 260 mg/dL (6.7 mmol/L) in a child, brother, or sister 16 years or younger. |
| | DIAGNOSIS |
| Definite famil | ial hypercholesterolemia = 1+2 or 3 |
| Possible fami | lial hypercholesterolemia = 1+4 or 5 |

¹ Austin MA, Hutter CM, Zimmern RL, Humphries SE. Genetic causes of monogenic heterozygous familial hypercholesterolemia: a HuGE prevalence review. American journal of epidemiology. 2004;160:407-420.





Diagnostic Criteria for FH

- A. AHA diagnostic criteria for FH: $LDL \ge 190$ md/dL
- B. Prevalence of FH is ~1 in 250
- C. No risk assessment with PCE is needed
- D. All adults with LDL ≥ 190 should get a highintensity statin unless contraindicated
- E. Screen relatives early Achilles Tendon

Xanthelasma

Achilles lend Xanthomas Hand Extensor Tendon Xanthomas

Arcus Corneae













FH Causes Premature CAD







Case Presentation

A 45-year-old man discharged from the hospital 6 weeks ago following an admission for unstable angina during which he undergoes stenting of the right coronary artery presents to the clinic. He reports no history of diabetes, smoking, hypertension, or a family history of premature heart disease. Discharge daily medications include metoprolol succinate 25 mg, aspirin 81 mg, atorvastatin 20 mg, and clopidogrel 75 mg. His body mass index is 27 kg/m2, waist circumference is 42 inches, and blood pressure is 135/85 mm Hg.

His laboratory results (on admission) were:

- -Total cholesterol 230 mg/dl
- -Triglycerides 350 mg/dl
- -High-density lipoprotein 35 mg/dl
- -Low-density lipoprotein 125 mg/dl
- -Fasting glucose 99 mg/dl

Which of the following is the most appropriate next step for this patient?

- A. Increase atorvastatin to 80 mg.
- **B.** Continue current therapy.



C. Add fenofibrate.



D. Add ezetimibe.



Guidelines Reflect Evolving Evidence of Lowering LDL-C



Historical Perspective of LDL-C Targets/Thresholds as

Progressive ASCVD, including UA that persists after achieving an LDL-C < 70 mg/dL (1.8 mmg/L), or established clinical ASCVD in individuals with diabetes. CKD stage 3 or 4, and/or HeFH, or in individuals with a history of premature ASCVD (< 55 years of age for males or < 65 years of age for females). †In very high risk ASCVD, use an LDL-C threshold of 70 mg/dL (1.8 mmol/L) to consider the addition of nonstatins to statin therapy. A threshold is the point/trigger at which intensification of therapy may be considered. Additional AHA/ACC guidelines were published in 2013 but did not provide a recommendation for target LDL-C levels to reduce the ASCVD risk.14

1. Goodman DS, et al. Arch Intern Med. 1988;148:36-69. 2. Grundy SM, et al. JAMA. 1993;269:3015-3023. 3. NCEP. Circulation. 2002;106:3143-3421. 4. Jellinger PS, et al. Endocr Pract. 2017;23(suppl 2):1-87. 5. Grundy SM, et al. J Am College Cardiol. 2019;73:e285- e350. 6. Reiner Z, et al. Eur Heart J. 2011;32:1769-1818. 7. Catapano AL, et al. Eur Heart J. 2016;37:2999-3058. 8. Mach F, et al. Eur Heart J. 2020;41:111-188. 9. Wood D; et al. Eur J Gen Pract. 1999;5:154-161. 10. De Backer G, et al. Atherosclerosis. 2004;173:381-391. 11. Graham I, et al. Eur Heart J. 2007;28:2375-2414. 12. Jellinger PS, et al. Endocr Pract. 2012;18(suppl 1):1-78, 13, Jacobson TA, et al. J Clin Lipidol, 2014:8:473-488, 14, Stone NJ, et al. J Am Coll Cardiol, 2014:63(25 pt B):2889-2934.





2018 ACC/AHA Guidelines







Case Presentation

- Our patient from Case #1 (38F with family history of premature CAD and He-FH) was started on atorvastatin 80 mg daily
- Within a few days, complained of back and lower limb pains and lower limb weakness
- Also complains of insomnia, and poor memory
- Laboratory (on treatment)
 - CK 63 U/L
 - ALT 45 U/L



• TC 185mg/dL, LDL 105 mg/dL, HDL 45 mg/dL



What is the Next Best Step in Management?

- A. Inform patient that symptoms are unlikely related to statin therapy and therefore should continue atorvastatin.
- B. Change from atorvastatin 20 mg to rosuvastatin 40 mg daily.
- C. Provide reassurance, temporarily discontinue statin therapy and plan for re-challenge in 4-6 weeks.
- D. Tell the patient they are no longer a candidate for statin therapy and discuss non-statin options for secondary prevention.



Statin Intolerance

| Society | Definition of statin intolerance | Year | References |
|--|---|------|------------|
| National Lipid Association (NLA) | "Inability to tolerate at least two statins: one statin at the lowest starting daily dose and another statin at any daily dose, due to either objectionable symptoms (real or perceived) or abnormal laboratory determinations, which are temporally related to statin treatment and reversible upon statin discontinuation" | 2014 | [22] |
| International Lipid Expert Panel (ILEP) | "Inability to tolerate at least two statins: one statin at the lowest starting daily dose and another statin at any daily dose, due to either objectionable symptoms (real or perceived) or abnormal laboratory determinations, which are temporally related to statin treatment and reversible upon statin discontinuation. The resolution of symptoms or changes in biomarkers or even significant improvement with dose reduction or withdrawal of treatment; symptoms or changes in biomarkers are not attributable to predispositions (drug–drug interactions and recognized conditions), increasing the risk of statin intolerance" | 2014 | [23] |
| European Atherosclerosis Society (EAS) | "The assessment of statin-associated muscle symptoms (SAMS) includes the nature of muscle symptoms, increased creatine kinase levels and their temporal association with initiation of therapy with statin, and statin therapy suspension and rechallenge" | 2015 | [24] |
| Canadian Consensus Working Group | "A clinical syndrome, not caused by drug interactions or risk factors for untreated intolerance and characterized by significant symptoms and/or biomarker abnormalities that prevent the long-term use and adherence to statins documented by challenge/dechallenge/rechallenge, where appropriate, using at least two statins, including atorvastatin and rosuvastatin, and that leads to failure of maintenance of therapeutic goals, as defined by national guidelines" | 2016 | [25] |



Reported Adverse Effects of Statins

- Muscle-related symptoms
- Elevated hepato-cellular enzymes
- Cancer
- New diabetes
- Hemorrhagic stroke
- Fatigue
- Neuro-psychiatric effects and insomnia
- Memory loss, dementia
- Proteinuria / hematuria
- Erectile dysfunction
- Alopecia





Liver Injury Associated with Statin Use

| Type of liver injury | Frequency | Comment |
|--|---|--|
| Asymptomatic elevations in aminotransferases | 0.1%-3.0% | Dose-dependent; class effect; clinically not significant |
| Clinically significant acute liver injury | Very rare | May be seen in combination with other medications |
| Fulminant hepatic failure | Extremely rare (isolated case reports) | It was estimated that risk of fulminant liver failure is 2 per million |
| Autoimmune hepatitis | Case reports | Statins may induce AIH in genetically susceptible individuals |





LDL-C Levels and Neurocognition

- Case series and 2 small, 6-month RCTs with statins raised concern regarding cognitive deficits
- In 2012 FDA added risk of adverse cognitive effects to label of all statins
- However analyses from large scale RCTs do not support these findings and 2014 Statin Cognitive Safety Task Force^{*} concluded that statins are not associated with cognitive side effects.



EBBINGHAUS Trial





EBBINGHAUS Trial: Endpoints

- Cambridge Neuropsychological Test Automated Battery (CANTAB) Assessments, a standardized, wellvalidated computer tablet-based testing platform. Assessed at baseline, 6, 12, 24, 48 mos and study end.
- Primary: Spatial working memory strategy index of executive function
 - Secondary: Spatial working memory between errors
 Paired associates learning Reaction
 time
 - Exploratory: Global score (combines above 4 tests)
- 2. Patient survey of everyday cognition* at study end
- 3. Investigator report of cognitive AEs



*Memory and executive function domains. Giugliano RP et al. *Clin Card* 2017;40:59-65



EBBINGHAUS Trial: Results

| All Patients | Placebo | Evolocumab | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|-------------|---------|
| | Mean (SD) | Mean (SD) | P-Value |
| Memory | 1.16 (0.39) | 1.17 (0.39) | 0.81 |
| Executive functioning total score | 1.11 (0.32) | 1.12 (0.32) | 0.28 |
| Planning | 1.08 (0.31) | 1.10 (0.32) | 0.20 |
| Organization | 1.09 (0.32) | 1.10 (0.33) | 0.57 |
| Divided attention | 1.15 (0.42) | 1.16 (0.41) | 0.54 |
| Total Score | 1.13 (0.33) | 1.14 (0.33) | 0.42 |

Patient self-report at end of study as compared to randomization, graded as

- 1. Better or no change
- 3. Consistently a little worse
- 2. Questionable / occasionally worse
- 4. Consistently much worse



Lower scores represent better cognition

Giugliano RP et al. NEJM 2017;177.



Statins and New-Onset Diabetes

| | Proportion of patients with new-onset diabetes (%) | | | |
|---|---|---------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Study | Statins | Placebo | RR, statin vs 95% CI placebo | |
| WOSCOPS (N=5974) | 1.9% | 2.8% | 0.69 | 0.49-0.96 |
| HPS (N=14,543) | 4.6% | 4.0% | 1.14 | 0.98-1.33 |
| ASCOT (N=7773) | 3.9% | 3.5% | 1.14 | 0.90-1.43 |
| LIPID (N=7937) | 4.3% | 4.6% | 0.95 | 0.77-1.16 |
| CORONA (N=3534) | 5.6% | 5.0% | 1.13 | 0.86-1.49 |
| JUPITER (N=17,802) | 3.0% | 2.4% | 1.25 | 1.05-1.49 |
| Combined all above (N=57,593 |) 3.8% | 3.5% | 1.06 | 0.93-1.22 (<i>P</i> =0.38) |
| Combined all above except WOSCOPS (N=51,619) | 4.0% | 3.5% | 1.13 | 1.03-1.23 (<i>P</i> =0.008) |

Absolute risk of developing DM 0.3-0.5% Note

- Patient reported diabetes
- No formal testing for diabetes

Risk factors for Statin associated DM

- Obesity
- IFG

Elevated TG / HDL



Sattar N et al. Lancet 2010; 375:735-42



Statins and New-Onset Diabetes In Context of Reduction of CV Events:



CORE



Muscle Related Adverse Effects of Statins

- Major symptom limiting the use of statins (up to 30% of patients), compromises quality of life and reduces adherence.
- Myalgia
 - Muscle aches or weakness in absence of CK rise
- Myositis
 - Elevated CK in presence of muscle symptoms
 - No absolute CK cut-off to define elevated
- Rhabdomyolysis
 - Pronounced CK elevation (> 10x ULN) with muscle symptoms



• May be associated with urine myoglobin and renal dysfunction



Mancini GB et al. CJC 2011; 27:635-662

Cohen JD et al. J Clin Lipid 2012; 6 (3):208-1

Evaluation/Risk Factors for SAMS

Table 1. Risk factors associated with statin-associated muscle symptoms

Female gender⁵³⁾

Advanced age (>75 years). Statins are generally well tolerated in the elderly. In RCTs there were no differences in muscle symptoms among patients treated with statin or placebo and also in study drug discontinuation; however, there are different factors and conditions that can increase adverse events in the elderly (decrease in lean body mass, reduction in albumin levels, decreased glomerular filtration rate, etc⁵⁴).

Abdominal obesity and metabolic syndrome^{55, 56)}

Frailty

Vitamin D deficiency: Low vitamin D levels are associated with myalgia in patients receiving statin therapy; however, there is no evidence of benefit from Vitamin D supplementation, even in patients with insufficient levels to prevent SAMS^{27, 57}).

Alcohol consumption: There is risk over 30 g/d in men and 20 g/d in women⁵⁵⁾

Excessive physical activity³⁵⁾

Not controlled hypothyroidism 580

Chronic Kidney Disease: Although a meta-analysis showed little or no risks of myalgia (RR0.99, CI 0.94-1.04) and elevated CK levels (RR 1.11, CI 0.80-1.04), precaution is necessary when statins are used in this condition⁵⁹.

Liver disease

Metabolic muscle disorders

Family history of statin intolerance and personal history of intolerance to other statins and lipid-lowering therapies



Drugs affecting statin metabolism increasing their plasma levels⁶⁰⁾ (inhibitors of CYP3A4: Macrolides, Fluoxetine, Verapamil, Protease inhibitors, grape fruit, etc.), lovastatin; inhibitors CYP2C9: ketoconazole, Fluconazole, Fluoxetine, Amiodarone, etc.; inhibitors of organic anion transporting peptide 1B1: gemfibrozil)

Alonso R et al. J Atheroscler Thromb, 2019; 26: 207-215.



Clinical Index for SAMS

Table 3. Statin-Associated Muscle Symptom Clinical Index^{36,170}

| Clinical Symptoms | Score |
|--|-------|
| Regional distribution/pattern | |
| Symmetrical hip flexors/thigh aches | 3 |
| Symmetrical calf aches | 2 |
| Symmetrical upper proximal aches | 2 |
| Nonspecific asymmetrical, intermittent | 1 |
| Temporal pattern | |
| Symptom onset <4 wk | 3 |
| Symptom onset 4–12 wk | 2 |
| Symptom onset >12 wk | 1 |
| Dechallenge | |
| Improves upon withdrawal <2 wk | 2 |
| Improves upon withdrawal 2-4 wk | 1 |
| Does not improve upon withdrawal >4 wk | 0 |
| Challenge | |
| Same symptoms reoccur upon rechallenge <4 wk | 3 |
| Same symptoms reoccur upon rechallenge 4-12 wk | 1 |
| Statin myalgia clinical index score | |
| Probable | 9–11 |
| Possible | 7–8 |
| Unlikely | <7 |





Management of Statin Associated Muscle Symptoms



Figure 3. Statin-associated muscle symptoms (SAMS) management algorithm. CK indicates creatinine kinase; LDL-c,

low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LLT, lipid-lowering therapy; and ULN, upper limit of normal. Figure derived from www.nps. org.au and 2012 Therapeutic Guidelines: Cardiovascular and 2016 European Society of Cardiology/European Atherosclerosis Society Guidelines for the management of dyslipidemias.^{23,29,36}

Ward NC et al. Circ Research; 2019;124:328-350.





Options for LDL-Cholesterol Lowering in Statin "Intolerant" Patient

- Lower statin dose
- Switch to alternative statin
- Altered dosing regimens
 - Rosuvastatin 2.5-10 mg 3 x weekly or alternate days
 - Rosuvastatin 5-20 mg once weekly
- Low dose / alternative statin /alternating day rosuvastatin

+

- Ezetimibe
- PCSK9i
- Bempedoic Acid





Statin Intolerance: N-of-1 Trials



ars R. St

CORE



Case Presentation

- 68M with history of inferior wall STEMI 2 years ago (DES x 2 to RCA), NSTEMI 1 year (DES to LAD and diagonal), PAD (PCI 3 months ago for symptomatic claudication), HTN, and hyperlipidemia presents for outpatient follow-up.
- Denies chest pain, exertional dyspnea, PND/orthopnea, claudication.
- Exam:
 - BP 132/78; HR 62 (sinus); 98% RA; RR 14; BMI 33.4 kg/m²
 - JVP 8cm, lungs clear, 2/6 early peaking systolic ejection murmur, no edema
- Labs:
 - Total cholesterol: 190 mg/dL
 - LDL-C: 110 mg/dL
 - HDL: 50 mg/dL
 - TG: 150 mg/dL
 - HbA1c: 6.2%



 Medications: aspirin 81, ticagrelor 90 mg PO BID, atorvastatin 80 mg, lisinopril 40 mg, metoprolo succinate 100 mg daily. What Would Be Your Approach for Secondary Prevention of Adverse CV Events, Particularly with Regard to Lipids?

- A. Switch from atorvastatin to rosuvastatin
- B. Add ezetimibe 10 mg daily and consider adding PCSK9 inhibitor
- C. Add icosapent ethyl
- D. No change required



Evolving Paradigm of LDL-C Management



2018 ACC/AHA Guidelines





